

The Corona Scandal - renown lawyer is suing the promoters of the "corona panic" for "Crimes Against Humanity"

THE CORONA FLU SCANDAL - the renown lawyer Reiner Fuellmich is suing the promoters of the "corona / covid-19 panic" (Adhanom leader of WHO, Drosten, & Wieler) for "Crimes Against Humanity"

Are you aware that lawyer Reiner Fuellmich is suing the promoters of the "corona panic" for "Crimes Against Humanity"? The 11 of October 2020, I came across Reiner Fuellmich video "Crimes Against Humanity" which he uploaded to his channel the 3rd of October 2020. I was shocked! This is of highest importance, for everyone in the world, this is possibly the biggest scandal in modern history. Reiner Fuellmich is suing the leader of WHO for fraud in regards to exaggerating the danger and spread of corona (covid 19). To be exact he is suing the three main promoters of the "Corona Panic".

Reiner Fuellmich a renown trial lawyer against fraudulent corporations such as Deutsche bank, VW, and Cunard and Niagara. Also one of four members of the German Corona Investigative Committee. Since July 10, 2020, this committee has been listening to a large number of international scientists and experts' testimony. He came to the conclusion that the danger and spread of the corona virus are being greatly exaggerated, and the measures based on this are the greatest restriction on our fundamental human rights since World War II. Calling it "the corona scandal", just the "swine flue scandal" of 2009, though this time much more serious social damages are taking place, he is now suing the promoters of the "corona panic" for "Crimes Against Humanity".

These are the three main promoters of the "corona panic" that are being sued for "Crimes Against Humanity" by lawyer Fuellmich - and these people and their networks, are the people that our governments are basing their lockdown decisions on!

- - Dr Christian Drosten, the inventor of the PCR test (corona test) -- PCR tests are not approved for diagnostic purposes, as is correctly noted on leaflets coming with these tests. Even the United States CDC agrees with this. Even Drosten himself declared in an interview in 2014, that these PCR tests are so highly sensitive that even very healthy and non-infectious people may test positive. Dr Yeadon in his piece: 'Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics - the Deadly Danger of False Positives' explains the complete unsuitability of the test for the detection of infectious diseases, covid 19 has tested positive in goats, sheep, papayas and even chicken wings -- Also note that previously, during the swine flu in 2009 Drosten was one of those who stirred up panic in the population; repeating over and over again that the swine flu would claim many hundreds of thousands, even millions of deaths, all over the world. This panic-inducing prognosis proved to be catastrophically false.
- - Mr Tedros Adhanom, head of WHO or World Health Organisation -- Drosten used the PCR test, to test in Wuhan China, it came positive, this was enough for WHO to sound the pandemic alarm and to recommend the worldwide use of the Drosten PCR test for the detection of infections -- Note also that previously, 12 years earlier the WHO changed the definition of "pandemic" (to "just a worldwide disease", which not necessarily led to many serious illnesses and deaths) and that due to this change was able to declare the swine flu pandemic in 2009, with the result that vaccines were produced and sold worldwide. The panic prognosis of WHO proved to be catastrophically false.
- - Mr Lothar Wieler, head of the RKI (German Center for Disease Control and Prevention) and author of "the "panic paper" -- "the "panic paper" that was leaked which was written by the German Department of the Interior. Its classified content shows beyond a shadow of a doubt that in fact the population was deliberately driven to panic by politicians and mainstream media. The accompanying irresponsible statements of the head of the RKI, remember the CDC, Mr Wieler who repeatedly and excitedly announced that the corona measures must be followed unconditionally by the population, without them asking any question shows that he followed the script verbatim. In his public statements he kept announcing that the situation was very grave and threatening although the figures compiled by his own institute proved the exact opposite.

According to the independent Investigative Corona Comitee, the danger and spread of corona are being exaggerated. What many people don't seem to understand is that the flu also kills people each year, and the argument of lawyer Fuellmich is that corona is not more dangerous than a normal flu. Also note that there is a difference of "dying with the corona virus" and "dying of corona virus", just as there is a difference between "dying in car accident with the flu" and "dying of the flu, conclusively", sadly this differentiation does not seem to be made by politicians and media. And if you look at detailed corona death reports in your country, it should name other possible causes of death and serious diseases the person had - you will find that most of the people who died with corona, had other serious health problems, and that most deaths are in the ages of 70 and up. The real numbers are comparable to what seasonal flu does each year. According to Fuellmich, the pictures of Bergamo (Italy) and New York (USA) are misleading, these pictures were deliberately used to cause panic.

There are many of scientists and experts the disagree with WHO. This is a huge law case! However my country, Denmark, seems to suppress this case, this case seems to be suppressed in most countries, it does not run on mainstream news - the fact that one of Europes most famous layers is suing WHO's leader, the inventor of the corona test, and the author of the panic paper - this fact has not even been mentioned in our media, not tv, not newspapers or their websites. It is also very difficult to find through Google or Youtube, unless a friend tells you. Youtube deleted Fuellmichs video the 21 October (however now another video is up on his channel). With such an important possible world corruption case going on, how is this possible! Some people are going through allot of effort to hide this case and its important details from the general population.

Scientists and experts that disagree with WHO are ignored or made fun of by colleagues and media, and an honest scientific discussion can not take place. Scientist and experts that disagree with WHO must be allowed to speak, and tell us of their observations and interpretations. This is urgent! The experts in our laws, and the judges in our courts must shake of this "corona panic", clear their minds and get to work -- I believe we have been scamed, lawyer Fuellmich puts allot of facts on the table, and has renown scientists in the Investigative Corona Comitee, mr Fuellmich explains his case well in his video. Remember the WHO has lied before, exaggerating the

danger of the swine flue and the need to buy vaccines in 2009 - if we are being conned, this is an incredible encroachment on our human rights, and all based on false grounds, if this is the case we the have a huge problem here!

I should add lawyer Fuellmich is not the only one suing for unwarranted lockdowns, other lawyers that disagree with the governments response to the corona flu, among them the Canadian constitutional lawyer Rocco Galati has file lawsuit against Prime Minister Justin Trudeau and Canada's Chief Public Health Officer Theresa Tam among others for their response to the corona flu. The lawsuit seeks several official declarations from the courts that pandemic measures are neither scientific nor medically-based, that they are extreme, irrational, and unwarranted, and that they breach multiple sections of our Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

Just as the general population is panic-stricken, so is the media, they are not able to think clearly. Even the judiciary system, has been so panic-stricken that it is no longer able to administer justice properly. We are currently experiencing the most serious encroachment on their constitutional rights since the end of Second World War. Governments have intervened massively and in part threatening the very existence of our countries, as it is guaranteed by the constitutional rights of the people.

Please listen to what Lawyer Fuellmich has to say, I know its difficult, but what if the "Corona Investigative Comitee" and lawyer Reiner Fuellmich are right? We must find the whole truth, and scientist that disagree with WHO must be allowed to come forth. If the court is the only place they can do that today, then that's the way to go. We live in a world with corruption, it is difficult to confront it in the face, but we can not allow our human rights we have to be taken away from us. If we are lied to, we must find out!

NOTE: THE SWINE FLU IN 2009 - Do you remember the "swine flue scandal" of 2009 and WHO's panic prognosis at that time? The definition of "pandemic" was changed by WHO 12 years ago to "just a worldwide disease", many serious illnesses and many deaths were not required anymore, to announce a pandemic". Due to this change the WHO, was able to declare the swine flu pandemic in 2009, with the result that vaccines were produced and sold worldwide, on the basis of contracts that have been kept secret until today. During the swine flu in 2009 Drosten was one of those who stirred up panic in the population; repeating over and over again that the swine flu would claim many hundreds of thousands, even millions of deaths, all over the world. Thanks to Dr Wolfgang Wodarg this hoax was brought to an end, before it would lead to even more serious consequences

Also remember that the WHO has lied before, in 2009 they said the swine flue was dangerous and millions would die, based on this most governments bought many vaccines. It turned out that the swine flue was as the normal flue, and what is even more worrying the vaccines the governments had bought had to be destroyed, because of negative side effects, many children became handicapped because of the swine flue vaccines. There is a conflict of interests in WHO because they are sponsored by pharmaceutical industries. The swine flue scandal is documented. I do not want this to repeat again.

As Fuellmich explains, Dr Christian Drosten is the very person whose horrific panic-inducing prognosis had proved to be catastrophically false 12 years earlier. During the swine flu in 2009 Drosten was one of those who stirred up panic in the population; repeating over and over again that the swine flu would claim many hundreds of thousands, even millions of deaths, all over the world.

It is important to note at this point that the definition of a pandemic was changed 12 years earlier. Until then, a pandemic was considered to be a disease that spread worldwide and which led to many serious illnesses and deaths. Suddenly, and for reasons never explained, it was supposed to be a worldwide disease, only, many serious illnesses and many deaths were not required anymore, to announce a pandemic. Due to this change the WHO, which is closely intertwined with the global pharmaceutical industry, was able to declare the swine flu pandemic in 2009, with the result that vaccines were produced and sold worldwide, on the basis of contracts that have been kept secret until today.

WHO changed the definition of a pandemic 12 years earlier.

- Old definition of pandemic -- a pandemic was considered to be a disease that spread worldwide and which led to many serious illnesses and deaths.
- WHO's new definition of pandemic -- "a worldwide disease only", many serious illnesses and many deaths were not required anymore, to announce a pandemic.

These vaccines proved to be completely unnecessary because the swine flu eventually turned out to be a mild flu and never became the horrific plague that the pharmaceutical industry and its affiliated universities kept announcing it would turn into, with millions of deaths certain to happen, if people didn't get vaccinated. These vaccines also led to serious health problems: about 700 children in Europe fell incurably ill with narcolepsy and are now forever severely disabled. The vaccines bought with millions of taxpayers' money had to be destroyed, with even more taxpayers' money.

Already then, during the swine flu, the German virologist Drosten was one of those who stirred up panic in the population; repeating over and over again that the swine flu would claim many hundreds of thousands, even millions of deaths, all over the world. In the end, it was mainly thanks to Dr Wolfgang Wodarg and his efforts as a member of the German Bundestag and also a member of the Council of Europe, that this hoax was brought to an end, before it would lead to even more serious consequences.

Today Drosten only person the German politicians listened to for the lockdown, that is, the very person whose horrific panic-inducing prognosis had proved to be catastrophically false 12 years earlier.

How this PDF is organized:

Because of the denseness of the material I have organized it as follows:

1. Mini resume of transcript

2. A broader resume of transcript
3. The Full transcript

Note: About the transcript

PS: After listening to Lawyer Fuellmich's video, I tried discussing this with my friends, and noticed that they had difficulty understanding and accepting the case against the WHO's panic promotion. So I started to summarize the transcript because I wanted to understand it better, I had to summarize, I had to summarize it to explain this to my friends clearly, and had to do it fast. The first resume was not precise enough, this one is more precise, however even this resume is not precise enough, I have encouraged others to improve the resume and I still do. I do not want to take anything away from Lawyer Fuellmich's case. This is important. In case you read this, I must say, sorry Mr. Fuellmich, I know it's not good enough. I just don't have the time and patience to do so, hearing this makes me very restless. I hope someone with more resources can improve and expand on the presentation of facts.

I found the transcript at www.ageofautism.com a web newspaper focusing on autism and its issues, it had been sent to them by JF in the UK, thanks for taking the time :-). The reason such an indexed resume is important, is because this fraud case seems to be deliberately suppressed by the media, most people have never heard about it, and the general population must be able to know this case is going on, and follow along with the evidence and witnesses presented in the case. The citizens have the right to know if there are real grounds for taking away their freedoms!

Video deleted, link renewed - Note that the main reason for this new version is that Fuellmich's video "Crimes Against Humanity" (which he uploaded to his channel the 3rd of October 2020) was deleted yesterday 21 October, however now another video is up on his channel the link is below called "crímenes contra la humanidad - en español" (in English with subtitles in Spanish), in case this new video is also deleted, several channels have reuploaded the video, and this video also exists in other video platforms, you should be able to find it by his name and video title: "Reiner Fuellmich" "Crimes Against Humanity".

- New link to video of Reiner Fuellmich can be found here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B2juC1LB-OE>
 - The first transcript can be found here: <https://www.ageofautism.com/2020/10/reiner-fuellmich-crimes-against-humanity-transcript.html>
-

Mini resume of transcript

The Corona Scandal - the renown lawyer Reiner Fuellmich is suing the promoters of the "corona panic" for "Crimes Against Humanity"

These are the three main promoters of the "corona panic" that are being sued for "Crimes Against Humanity" by lawyer Fuellmich

- - Dr Christian Drosten, the inventor of the PCR test (corona test) -- PCR tests are not approved for diagnostic purposes, as is correctly noted on leaflets coming with these tests. Even the United States CDC agrees with this. Even Drosten himself declared in an interview in 2014, that these PCR tests are so highly sensitive that even very healthy and non-infectious people may test positive. Dr Yeadon in his piece: 'Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics - the Deadly Danger of False Positives' explains the complete unsuitability of the test for the detection of infectious diseases, covid 19 has tested positive in goats, sheep, papayas and even chicken wings -- Also note that previously, during the swine flu in 2009 Drosten was one of those who stirred up panic in the population; repeating over and over again that the swine flu would claim many hundreds of thousands, even millions of deaths, all over the world. This panic-inducing prognosis proved to be catastrophically false.
- - Mr Tedros Adhanom, head of WHO or World Health Organisation -- Drosten used the PCR test, to test in Wuhan China, it came positive, this was enough for WHO to sound the pandemic alarm and to recommend the worldwide use of the Drosten PCR test for the detection of infections -- Note also that previously, 12 years earlier the WHO changed the definition of "pandemic" (to "just a worldwide disease", which not necessarily led to many serious illnesses and deaths) and that due to this change was able to declare the swine flu pandemic in 2009, with the result that vaccines were produced and sold worldwide. The panic prognosis of WHO proved to be catastrophically false.
- - Mr Lothar Wieler, head of the RKI (German Center for Disease Control and Prevention) and author of "the "panic paper" -- "the "panic paper" that was leaked which was written by the German Department of the Interior. Its classified content shows beyond a shadow of a doubt that in fact the population was deliberately driven to panic by politicians and mainstream media. The accompanying irresponsible statements of the head of the RKI, remember the CDC, Mr Wieler who repeatedly and excitedly announced that the corona measures must be followed unconditionally by the population, without them asking any question shows that he followed the script verbatim. In his public statements he kept announcing that the situation was very grave and threatening although the figures compiled by his own institute proved the exact opposite.

The Corona Investigative Committee & Lawyer Reiner Fuellmich

Reiner Fuellmich a trial lawyer against fraudulent corporations such as Deutsche bank, VW, and Cunard and Niagara. Also one of four members of the German Corona Investigative Committee. Since July 10, 2020, this committee has been listening to a large number of international scientists and experts' testimony.

The Corona Investigative Committee was founded on July 10th by four lawyers in order to determine through hearing expert testimony of international scientists and other experts:

- How dangerous is the virus really?
- What is the significance of a post-positive PCR test?

- What collateral damage has been caused by the corona measures both with respect to the world's population's health, and with respect to the world's economy?

These scientists include:

- Professor John Ioannidis of Stanford University in California, a specialist in statistics and epidemiology as well as public health, and at the same time the most quoted scientists in the world;
- Professor Michael Levitt, Nobel prize winner for chemistry, and also a biophysicist at Stanford University,
- the German Professors Karin Mulling, Sucharit Bhakdi, Klud Wittkowski Stefan Homburg
- Dr Mike Yeadon is the former vice president and scientific director of Pfizer
- many, many, more scientists and doctors worldwide

After hearing these scientists Reiner Fuellmich says "This corona crisis, according to all we know today, must be renamed a corona scandal; and those responsible for it must be criminally prosecuted and sued for civil damages" - which has meanwhile unfolded into probably the greatest crime against humanity ever committed.

Who decided the lockdown and on what grounds?

The people who called the shots in the proclamation of the worldwide corona pandemic - What happened in May 2019: the CDU (German party), held a congress on global health, other people whom one would not necessarily expect to be present at such a gathering were also there: Drosten, Wieler, and Mr Tedros Adhanom, head of WHO. Also present and giving speeches were the chief lobbyists of the world's two largest health funds, namely, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Wellcome Trust.

Less than a year later these very people called the shots in the proclamation of the worldwide corona pandemic, made sure that mass PCR tests were used to prove mass infections with COVID-19 all over the world, and are now pushing for vaccines to be invented and sold worldwide. In March of 2020 Germany decision for lockdown based only on Drosten's doomsday prophecies, the only one known to have disagreed in the process David Sieber was called a conspiracy theorist and stripped of his mandates.

The current state of affairs: 1. How dangerous is the virus really? 2. What is the significance of a post-positive PCR test? 3. What collateral damage has been caused by the corona measures?

- 1. How dangerous is the virus really? Health care systems were never in danger of becoming overwhelmed by COVID-19. Studies carried out by Professor Ioannidis and others have shown that the mortality of corona is equivalent to that of the seasonal flu; even the pictures from Bergamo and New York that were used to demonstrate to the world that panic was in order proved to be deliberately misleading
- 2. What is the significance of a post-positive PCR test? The PCR test for the detection of an infection was invented by the now infamous Professor Drosten -- We have learned that PCR tests, contrary to the assertions of Messrs. Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, do not give any indication of an infection with any virus. PCR tests expressly not approved for diagnostic purposes, as is correctly noted on leaflets coming with these tests. Even the United States CDC agrees with this. Even Drosten himself declared in an interview in 2014, that these PCR tests are so highly sensitive that even very healthy and non-infectious people may test positive. A number of highly respected scientists worldwide assume that there has never been a corona pandemic but only a PCR test pandemic. There was a real wave of disease in March and April but since then everything has gone back to normal ... it is sufficient for the so-called herd immunity that 15 to 25 percent of the population are infected with the allegedly new coronavirus to stop the further spread of the virus, and this has long been the case. Dr Yeadon in his piece: 'Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics - the Deadly Danger of False Positives' explains the complete unsuitability of the test for the detection of infectious diseases, covid 19 has tested positive in goats, sheep, papayas and even chicken wings
- 3. Collateral damages & legal consequences - What collateral damage has been caused by the corona measures both with respect to the world's population's health, and with respect to the world's economy? More and more scientists but also lawyers recognise that as a result of the deliberate panic-mongering and the corona measures enabled by this panic, democracy is in great danger of being replaced by fascist totalitarian models. In Australia people who do not wear the masks, which more and more studies show are hazardous to health, or who allegedly do not wear them correctly, are arrested, handcuffed and thrown into jail. In the Philippines they run the risk of getting shot. But even in Germany and in other previously, civilised countries, children are taken away from their parents if they do not comply with quarantine regulations, distance regulations and mask wearing -regulations. According to psychologists and psychotherapists who testified before the corona committee, children are traumatised en masse. The German judiciary, just like the general public has been so panic-stricken that it was no longer able to administer justice properly. Germans are currently experiencing the most serious encroachment on their constitutional rights since the founding of the Federal Republic of Germany in 1949. In order to contain the corona pandemic federal and state governments have intervened, he says, massively and in part threatening the very existence of the country, as it is guaranteed by the constitutional rights of the people.

What can we do? the class action is the best route to compensatory damages and to political consequences

How can we do something? What can we do? Well, the class action is the best route to compensatory damages and to political consequences. class action enables a court of law to allow complaint for damages to be tried as a class action lawsuit at the request of a plaintiff, if: as a result of a damage inducing event, and a large number of people, suffer the same type of damage. A judge can allow a class-action lawsuit to go forward if common questions of law and fact make up the vital component of the lawsuit.

Through an international lawyers' network, which is growing larger by the day, the German group of attorneys provides to all of their colleagues, in all other countries, free of charge, all relevant information, including expert opinions and testimonies of experts showing that the PCR tests cannot detect infections and they also provide them with all relevant information as to how they can prepare and bundle the claims for damages of their clients so that they too can assert their clients claims for damages either in their home countries,

courts of law, or within the framework of the class action as explained above.

These scandalous corona facts gathered mostly by the corona committee and summarised above are the very same facts that will soon be proven to be true, either in one court of law or in many courts of law, all over the world. These are the facts that will pull the masks off the faces of all those responsible for these crimes.

To the politicians, start the long overdue public scientific discussion and not go down with those charlatans and criminals

Broader Resumed Overview of transcript

The Corona Scandal - Reiner Fuellmich is suing the promoters of the "corona panic" for "Crimes Against Humanity"

The Corona Investigative Committee & Layer Reiner Fuellmich -- "This corona crisis, according to all we know today, must be renamed a corona scandal", probably the greatest crime against humanity ever committed

Reiner Fuellmich a trial lawyer against fraudulent corporations such as Deutsche bank, VW, and Cunard and Niagara. Also one of four members of the German Corona Investigative Committee. Since July 10, 2020, this committee has been listening to a large number of international scientists and experts' testimony. This corona crisis, according to all we know today, must be renamed a corona scandal; and those responsible for it must be criminally prosecuted and sued for civil damages. I will now explain to you how and where an international network of lawyers will argue this biggest tort case ever - the corona fraud scandal - which has meanwhile unfolded into probably the greatest crime against humanity ever committed.

This committee was founded on July 10th by four lawyers in order to determine through hearing expert testimony of international scientists and other experts:

- How dangerous is the virus really?
- What is the significance of a post-positive PCR test?
- What collateral damage has been caused by the corona measures both with respect to the world's population's health, and with respect to the world's economy?

The three major questions:

1. Is there a corona pandemic or is there only a test pandemic,
2. Do the so-called anti-corona measures serve to protect or make people panic,
3. Is it true that the German government was massively lobbied by the chief protagonists of this so-called corona pandemic (Drosten, Wieler, and Mr Tedros Adhanom, head of WHO)?

These scientists include:

- Professor John Ioannidis of Stanford University in California, a specialist in statistics and epidemiology as well as public health, and at the same time the most quoted scientists in the world;
- Professor Michael Levitt, Nobel prize winner for chemistry, and also a biophysicist at Stanford University,
- the German Professors Karin Mulling,
- Sucharit Bhakdi,
- Klud Wittkowski
- Stefan Homburg
- Dr Mike Yeadon is the former vice president and scientific director of Pfizer
- many, many, more scientists and doctors worldwide

Who decided the lockdown and on what grounds? The people who called the shots in the proclamation of the worldwide corona pandemic - What happened in May 2019: the CDU (German party), held a congress on global health, other people whom one would not necessarily expect to be present at such a gathering were also there: Drosten, Wieler, and Mr Tedros Adhanom, head of WHO. Also present and giving speeches were the chief lobbyists of the world's two largest health funds, namely, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Welcome Trust

What happened in the May 2019 congress on global health in Germany: In May of 2019, the stronger of the two parties which govern Germany in a grand coalition, the CDU, held a congress on global health, apparently at the instigation of important players from the pharmaceutical industry and in the tech industry. At this congress the usual suspects (you might say) gave their speeches. Angela Merkel was there and the German secretary of health, Jens Spahn, but some other people whom one would not necessarily expect to be present at such a gathering were also there. Professor Drosten, virologist, from the Charite hospital in Berlin; Professor Wieler, veterinarian, and head of the RKI (the German equivalent of the CDC) as well as Mr Tadros Adhanom, philosopher, and head of the world health organisation (WHO). They all gave speeches there. Also present and giving speeches were the chief lobbyists of the world's two largest health funds, namely, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Welcome Trust.

These would be the three main promoters of the "corona panic":

- Mr Tedros Adhanom, head of the World Health Organisation or WHO
- Dr Christian Drosten, virologist and Director of the Institute at Charité Hospital in Berlin;
- Mr Lothar Wieler, veterinarian and head of the German equivalent of the CDC, the RKI;

In this congress participated the chief lobbyists of the world's two largest health funds

- The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation
- The Wellcome Trust

Less than a year later these very people called the shots in the proclamation of the worldwide corona pandemic, made sure that mass PCR tests were used to prove mass infections with COVID-19 all over the world, and are now pushing for vaccines to be invented and sold worldwide.

Note: The definition of "pandemic" was changed 12 years earlier to "a worldwide disease, many serious illnesses and many deaths were not required anymore, to announce a pandemic". Due to this change the WHO, was able to declare the swine flu pandemic in 2009, with the result that vaccines were produced and sold worldwide, on the basis of contracts that have been kept secret until today. During the swine flu in 2009 Drosten was one of those who stirred up panic in the population; repeating over and over again that the swine flu would claim many hundreds of thousands, even millions of deaths, all over the world. Thanks to Dr Wolfgang Wodarg this hoax was brought to an end, before it would lead to even more serious consequences

March of 2020 -- Germany decision for lockdown based only on Drosten's doomsday prophecies, the only one known to have disagreed in the process David Sieber was called a conspiracy theorist and stripped of his mandates

March of 2020 -- There was only one single opinion on which the federal government in Germany based its' decision for lockdown, Mr Drosten, the very person whose horrific panic-inducing prognosis had proved to be catastrophically false 12 years earlier - In an outrageous violation of the universally accepted principle auditor at ultra parse, which means that one must also hear the other side. We know this because a whistle-blower named David Sieber, a member of the Green Party

David Sieber (member of the Green Party) had become increasingly sceptical about the official narrative propagated by politicians and the mainstream media. David Sieber found highly renowned scientists on the internet who held a completely different opinion, that there was no disease that went beyond the gravity of the seasonal flu; that the population had already acquired cross or T-cell immunity against this allegedly new virus; and that there was therefore no reason for any special measures and certainly not for vaccinations

These scientists which David Sieber consulted include Professor John Ioannidis of Stanford University in California, a specialist in statistics and epidemiology as well as public health, and at the same time the most quoted scientists in the world; Professor Michael Levitt, Nobel prize winner for chemistry, and also a biophysicist at Stanford University, the German Professors Karin Mulling, Sucharit Bhakdi, Klud Wittkowski as well as Stefan Homburg and now many, many, more scientists and doctors worldwide; including Dr Mike Yeadon. Dr Mike Yeadon is the former vice president and scientific director of Pfizer, one of the largest pharmaceutical companies in the world. I will talk some more about him a little later.

Mr Sieber turned to the leadership of his green party with the knowledge he had accumulated and suggested that they present these other scientific opinions to the public and explain that contrary to Mr Drosten's doomsday prophecies there was no reason for the public to panic -- Mr Drosten's panic messages were good enough for the German party. David Sieber was called a conspiracy theorist, without ever having considered the content of his information and then stripped him of his mandates

The current state of affairs: 1. How dangerous is the virus really? 2. What is the significance of a post-positive PCR test? 3. What collateral damage has been caused by the corona measures?

1. How dangerous is the virus really? Health care systems were never in danger of becoming overwhelmed by COVID-19. Studies carried out by Professor Ioannidis and others have shown that the mortality of corona is equivalent to that of the seasonal flu; even the pictures from Bergamo and New York that were used to demonstrate to the world that panic was in order proved to be deliberately misleading

The current, actual situation regarding the virus's danger; the complete uselessness of PCR tests for the detection of infections; and the lockdowns based on non-existent infections

Health care systems were never in danger of becoming overwhelmed by COVID-19. Studies carried out by Professor Ioannidis and others have shown that the mortality of corona is equivalent to that of the seasonal flu; even the pictures from Bergamo and New York that were used to demonstrate to the world that panic was in order proved to be deliberately misleading

Mr Wieler's 'panic paper' -- Mr Wieler who repeatedly and excitedly announced that the corona measures must be followed unconditionally by the population, without them asking any question ... Among other things, the panic paper calls for children to be made to feel responsible and, I quote, for the painful tortured death of their parents and grandparents if they do not follow the corona rules; that is, if they do not wash their hands constantly and don't stay away from their grandparents.

To clarify, COVID-19, is a dangerous disease, just like the seasonal flu -- However, as autopsies have shown, almost all been caused by serious pre-existing conditions and almost all of the people who had died, had died at a very old age, just like in Italy, meaning they had lived beyond their average life expectancy

The assertion that the lockdown was necessary: a) the lockdown was imposed when the virus was already retreating, & b) There's

already protection from the virus because of cross or t-cell immunity

2. What is the significance of a post-positive PCR test? The PCR test for the detection of an infection was invented by the now infamous Professor Drosten -- We have learned that PCR tests, contrary to the assertions of Messrs. Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, do not give any indication of an infection with any virus. PCR tests expressly not approved for diagnostic purposes, as is correctly noted on leaflets coming with these tests. Even the United States CDC agrees with this. Even Drosten himself declared in an interview in 2014, that these PCR tests are so highly sensitive that even very healthy and non-infectious people may test positive. A number of highly respected scientists worldwide assume that there has never been a corona pandemic but only a PCR test pandemic. There was a real wave of disease in March and April but since then everything has gone back to normal ... it is sufficient for the so-called herd immunity that 15 to 25 percent of the population are infected with the allegedly new coronavirus to stop the further spread of the virus, and this has long been the case. Dr Yeadon in his piece: 'Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics - the Deadly Danger of False Positives' explains the complete unsuitability of the test for the detection of infectious diseases, covid 19 has tested positive in goats, sheep, papayas and even chicken wings

The PCR test for the detection of an infection was invented by the now infamous Professor Drosten. He sent it to China to determine whether the victims of the alleged new coronavirus tested positive. They did, and that was enough for the WHO to sound the pandemic alarm and to recommend the worldwide use of the Drosten PCR test -- We have learned that these PCR tests, contrary to the assertions of Messrs. Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, do not give any indication of an infection with any virus.

PCR tests expressly not approved for diagnostic purposes, as is correctly noted on leaflets coming with these tests ... contrary to the assertions of Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, which they have been making since the proclamation of the pandemic, a positive PCR test result does not mean that an infection is present. Even the United States CDC, even this institution, agrees with this and I quote directly from page 38 of one of its publications on the coronavirus and the PCR tests dated July 13 2020

Everything over 35 cycles is, as reported by the New York Times and others, considered completely unreliable and scientifically unjustifiable. Drosten test as well as the WHO recommended tests that followed his example are set to 45 cycles. Can that be because of the desire to produce as many positive results as possible and thereby provide the basis for the false assumption that a large number of infections have been detected?

Even Drosten himself declared in an interview with a German business magazine in 2014, at that time concerning the alleged detection of an infection with the MERS virus allegedly with the help of the PCR test, that these PCR tests are so highly sensitive that even very healthy and non-infectious people may test positive ... Once again, this means that positive test results, contrary to all other claims by Drosten, Wieler or the WHO mean nothing, with respect to infections, as even the CDC knows as quoted above.

A number of highly respected scientists worldwide assume that there has never been a corona pandemic but only a PCR test pandemic - "We're basing our government policy, our economic policy and the policy of restricting fundamental rights presumably on completely wrong data and assumptions about the coronavirus. If it weren't for the test results that are constantly reported in the media, the pandemic would be over, because nothing really happened."

There was a real wave of disease in March and April but since then everything has gone back to normal ... it is sufficient for the so-called herd immunity that 15 to 25 percent of the population are infected with the allegedly new coronavirus to stop the further spread of the virus, and this has long been the case

Dr Yeadon in his piece: 'Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics - the Deadly Danger of False Positives' - explains that what the PCR test actually measures is: "Simply the presence of partial RNA sequences present in the intact virus which could be a piece of dead virus, which cannot make the subject sick, and cannot be transmitted and cannot make anyone else sick - because of the complete unsuitability of the test for the detection of infectious diseases - tested positive in goats, sheep, papayas and even chicken wings". Carl Heneghan writes that the COVID-19 virus would never disappear, if this test practice were to be continued, but would always be falsely detected, in much of what is tested. Lockdowns as Yeadon and his colleagues found out, do not work. Sweden with its laissez-faire approach and Great Britain with its strict lockdown, for example, have completely comparable disease and mortality statistics

Professor Neil Ferguson and his completely false computer model; models warning of millions of deaths. Yeadon says that, and I quote: "No serious scientist gives any validity to Ferguson's model." .. but the government is still wedded to the model. "This test is fatally flawed and must immediately be withdrawn and never used again in this setting, unless shown to be fixed."

3. Collateral damages & legal consequences - What collateral damage has been caused by the corona measures both with respect to the world's population's health, and with respect to the world's economy? More and more scientists but also lawyers recognise that as a result of the deliberate panic-mongering and the corona measures enabled by this panic, democracy is in great danger of being replaced by fascist totalitarian models. In Australia people who do not wear the masks, which more and more studies show are hazardous to health, or who allegedly do not wear them correctly, are arrested, handcuffed and thrown into jail. In the Philippines they run the risk of getting shot but even in Germany and in other previously, civilised countries, children are taken away from their parents if they do not comply with quarantine regulations, distance regulations and mask wearing -regulations. According to psychologists and psychotherapists who testified before the corona committee, children are traumatised en masse. The German judiciary, just like the general public has been so panic-stricken that it was no longer able to administer justice properly. Germans are currently experiencing the most serious encroachment on their constitutional rights since the founding of the Federal Republic of Germany in 1949. In order to contain the corona pandemic federal and state governments have intervened, he says, massively and in part threatening the very existence of the country, as it is guaranteed by the constitutional rights of the people.

"The False Alarm paper" written by a German official in the Department of the Interior, who is responsible for risk assessment and the

protection of the population against risks, was leaked recently. It is now called the False Alarm paper. This paper comes to the conclusion that there was, and is, no sufficient evidence for serious health risks for the population, and very much evidence of the corona measures causing gigantic health and economic damage to the population which he then describes in detail in this paper. This he concludes will lead to very high claims for damages, which the government will be held responsible for. This has now become reality but the paper's author was suspended.

More and more scientists but also lawyers recognise that as a result of the deliberate panic-mongering and the corona measures enabled by this panic, democracy is in great danger of being replaced by fascist totalitarian models. In Australia people who do not wear the masks, which more and more studies show are hazardous to health, or who allegedly do not wear them correctly, are arrested, handcuffed and thrown into jail. In the Philippines they run the risk of getting shot but even in Germany and in other previously, civilised countries, children are taken away from their parents if they do not comply with quarantine regulations, distance regulations and mask wearing -regulations. According to psychologists and psychotherapists who testified before the corona committee, children are traumatised en masse.

Summary of the legal consequences - A court of law can only decide a legal dispute correctly if it has previously determined the facts correctly, which is not possible without looking at all the evidence and that's why the law of evidence is so important. On the basis of the facts summarised above, in particular, those established with the help of the work of the German corona committee, the legal evaluation is actually simple.

German law professors including Professors Kingreen, Morswig, Youngblood and Foskarau have stated serious doubts expressed by the former president of the federal constitutional court -- the corona measures, are without a sufficient factual basis and also without a sufficient legal basis and are therefore unconstitutional and must be repealed immediately.

Torsten Schleife is his name, declared publicly that the German judiciary, just like the general public has been so panic-stricken that it was no longer able to administer justice properly. Germans are currently experiencing the most serious encroachment on their constitutional rights since the founding of the Federal Republic of Germany in 1949. In order to contain the corona pandemic federal and state governments have intervened, he says, massively and in part threatening the very existence of the country, as it is guaranteed by the constitutional rights of the people.

What about fraud, intentional infliction of damage and crimes against humanity? Based on the rules of criminal law asserting false facts concerning the PCR tests or intentional risk misrepresentation, as it was committed by Messrs Drost and Wieler as well as the WHO, can only be assessed as fraud. Based on the rules of civil tort law, this translates into intentional infliction of damage.

Messrs Drost, Wieler and Tedros of the WHO all knew, based on their own expertise, or the expertise of their institutions, that the PCR tests cannot provide any information about infections but asserted over and over again to the general public that they can -- on the basis of their recommendations the governments of the world would decide on lockdowns.

Under the rules of civil tort law, all those who have been harmed by these PCR tests, PCR tests induced lockdowns are entitled to receive full compensation for their losses.

What can we do? the class action is the best route to compensatory damages and to political consequences. Through an international lawyers' network, which is growing larger by the day, the German group of attorneys provides to all of their colleagues, in all other countries, free of charge, all relevant information, including expert opinions and testimonies of experts. These scandalous corona facts gathered mostly by the corona committee and summarised above are the very same facts that will soon be proven to be true, either in one court of law or in many courts of law, all over the world. These are the facts that will pull the masks off the faces of all those responsible for these crimes.

How can we do something? What can we do? Well, the class action is the best route to compensatory damages and to political consequences. class action enables a court of law to allow complaint for damages to be tried as a class action lawsuit at the request of a plaintiff, if: as a result of a damage inducing event, and a large number of people, suffer the same type of damage. A judge can allow a class-action lawsuit to go forward if common questions of law and fact make up the vital component of the lawsuit.

Through an international lawyers' network, which is growing larger by the day, the German group of attorneys provides to all of their colleagues, in all other countries, free of charge, all relevant information, including expert opinions and testimonies of experts showing that the PCR tests cannot detect infections and they also provide them with all relevant information as to how they can prepare and bundle the claims for damages of their clients so that they too can assert their clients claims for damages either in their home countries, courts of law, or within the framework of the class action as explained above.

These scandalous corona facts gathered mostly by the corona committee and summarised above are the very same facts that will soon be proven to be true, either in one court of law or in many courts of law, all over the world. These are the facts that will pull the masks off the faces of all those responsible for these crimes.

To the politicians, start the long overdue public scientific discussion and not go down with those charlatans and criminals

Full transcript

The Corona Scandal - Reiner Fuellmich is suing the promoters of the "corona panic"

for "Crimes Against Humanity"

I am Reiner Fuellmich - a trial lawyer against fraudulent corporations such as Deutsche bank, VW, and Cunard and Niagara. Also one of four members of the German Corona Investigative Committee. Since July 10, 2020, this committee has been listening to a large number of international scientists and experts' testimony. This corona crisis, according to all we know today, must be renamed a corona scandal; and those responsible for it must be criminally prosecuted and sued for civil damages. I will now explain to you how and where an international network of lawyers will argue this biggest tort case ever - the corona fraud scandal - which has meanwhile unfolded into probably the greatest crime against humanity ever committed.

Hello. I am Reiner Fuellmich and I have been admitted to the bar in Germany and in California for 26 years. I have been practicing law primarily as a trial lawyer against fraudulent corporations such as Deutsche bank, formerly one of the world's largest and most respected banks, today one of the most toxic criminal organisations in the world; VW, one of the world's largest and most respected car manufacturers, today notorious for its giant diesel fraud; and Cunard and Niagara the world's largest shipping company. We're suing them in a multi-million-dollar bribery case.

I'm also one of four members of the German Corona Investigative Committee. Since July 10, 2020, this committee has been listening to a large number of international scientists and experts' testimony - to find answers to questions about the corona crisis which more and more people worldwide are asking. All the above mentioned cases of corruption and fraud committed by the German corporations pale in comparison in view of the extent of the damage that the corona crisis has caused and continues to cause. This corona crisis, according to all we know today, must be renamed a corona scandal; and those responsible for it must be criminally prosecuted and sued for civil damages.

On a political level, everything must be done to make sure that no one will ever again be in a position of such power as to be able to defraud humanity, or to attempt to manipulate us with their corrupt agendas. And, for this reason, I will now explain to you how and where an international network of lawyers will argue this biggest tort case ever - the corona fraud scandal - which has meanwhile unfolded into probably the greatest crime against humanity ever committed.

Crimes against humanity were first defined in connection with the Nuremberg trials after World War II, that is when they dealt with the main war criminals of the Third Reich. Crimes against humanity are today regulated in section 7 of the International Criminal Code.

The three major questions: 1. Is there a corona pandemic or is there only a test pandemic, 2. Do the so-called anti-corona measures serve to protect or make people panic, 3. Is it true that the German government was massively lobbied by the chief protagonists of this so-called corona pandemic (Drosten, Wieler, and Mr Tedros Adhanom, head of WHO)? Answers to these three questions are urgently needed the anti-corona measures whose only basis are the PCR test results have in the meantime caused the loss of innumerable human lives, and have destroyed the economic existence of countless companies and individuals worldwide. In Australia, for example, people are thrown into prison if they do not wear a mask or do not wear it properly as deemed by the authorities. In the Philippines, people who do not wear a mask, or do not wear it properly in this sense, are getting shot in the head.

The three major questions to be answered in the context of a judicial approach to the corona scandal are:

1. Is there a corona pandemic or is there only a Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) test pandemic, specifically does a positive PCR test result mean that the person tested is infected with COVID-19, or does it mean absolutely nothing in connection with the COVID-19 infection?
2. Do the so-called anti-corona measures such as the lockdown, mandatory face masks, social distancing and quarantine regulations serve to protect the world's population from corona, or do these measures serve only to make people panic - so that they believe without asking any questions that their lives are in danger, so that in the end the pharmaceutical and tech industries can generate huge profits from the sale of PCR tests, antigen and antibody tests and vaccines, as well as the harvesting of our genetic fingerprints?
3. Is it true that the German government was massively lobbied more, so than any other country, by the chief protagonists of this so-called corona pandemic? Dr Christian Drosten, virologist and Director of the Institute at Charité Hospital in Berlin; Mr Lothar Wieler, veterinarian and head of the German equivalent of the CDC, the RKI; and Mr Tedros Adhanom, head of the World Health Organisation or WHO, because Germany is known as a particularly disciplined country and was therefore to become a role model for the rest of the world - for its strict and of course successful adherence to the corona measures?

Answers to these three questions are urgently needed because the allegedly new and highly dangerous coronavirus has not caused any excess mortality anywhere in the world and certainly not here in Germany; but the anti-corona measures whose only basis are the PCR test results (which are in turn all based on the German Drosten test) have in the meantime caused the loss of innumerable human lives, and have destroyed the economic existence of countless companies and individuals worldwide. In Australia, for example, people are thrown into prison if they do not wear a mask or do not wear it properly as deemed by the authorities. In the Philippines, people who do not wear a mask, or do not wear it properly in this sense, are getting shot in the head.

Summary of the facts as result of the work of the corona investigative committee. This committee was founded on July 10th by four lawyers in order to determine through hearing expert testimony of international scientists and other experts: How dangerous is the virus really? What is the significance of a post-positive PCR test? What collateral damage has been caused by the corona measures both with respect to the world's population's health, and with respect to the world's economy?

Let me first give you a summary of the facts that they present, as they present themselves. Today the most important thing in a lawsuit is to establish the facts; that is, to find out what actually happened. This is because the application of the law always depends on the facts at

issue. If I want to prosecute someone for fraud, I cannot do that by presenting the facts of a car accident. So, what happened here regarding the alleged corona pandemic?

The facts laid out below are to a large extent the result of the work of the corona investigative committee. This committee was founded on July 10th by four lawyers in order to determine through hearing expert testimony of international scientists and other experts:

- How dangerous is the virus really?
- What is the significance of a post-positive PCR test?
- What collateral damage has been caused by the corona measures both with respect to the world's population's health, and with respect to the world's economy?

Who decided the lockdown and on what grounds?

What happened in May 2019: the CDU (German Party), held a congress on global health, with people that less than a year later called the shots in the proclamation of the worldwide corona pandemic, use PCR tests to prove mass infections, and are now pushing for vaccines to be invented and sold worldwide

What happened in May 2019: the CDU (German party), held a congress on global health, apparently at the instigation of important players from the pharmaceutical industry and in the tech industry -- other people whom one would not necessarily expect to be present at such a gathering were also there: Drosten, Wieler, and Mr Tedros Adhanom, head of WHO. Also present and giving speeches were the chief lobbyists of the world's two largest health funds, namely, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Wellcome Trust

Let me start with a little bit of background information: what happened in May 2019 and then in early 2020, and what happened 12 years earlier with the swine flu, which many of you may have forgotten about. In May of 2019, the stronger of the two parties which govern Germany in a grand coalition, the CDU, held a congress on global health, apparently at the instigation of important players from the pharmaceutical industry and in the tech industry. At this congress the usual suspects (you might say) gave their speeches. Angela Merkel was there and the German secretary of health, Jens Spahn, but some other people whom one would not necessarily expect to be present at such a gathering were also there. Professor Drosten, virologist, from the Charite hospital in Berlin; Professor Wieler, veterinarian, and head of the RKI (the German equivalent of the CDC) as well as Mr Tadros Adhanom, philosopher, and head of the world health organisation (WHO). They all gave speeches there. Also present and giving speeches were the chief lobbyists of the world's two largest health funds, namely, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Wellcome Trust.

Note: These would be the three main promoters of the "corona panic":

- Dr Christian Drosten, virologist and Director of the Institute at Charité Hospital in Berlin;
- Mr Lothar Wieler, veterinarian and head of the German equivalent of the CDC, the RKI;
- Mr Tedros Adhanom, head of the World Health Organisation or WHO

Note: In this congress ALSO participated the chief lobbyists of the world's two largest health funds

- The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation
- The Wellcome Trust

Less than a year later these very people called the shots in the proclamation of the worldwide corona pandemic, made sure that mass PCR tests were used to prove mass infections with COVID-19 all over the world, and are now pushing for vaccines to be invented and sold worldwide.

Less than a year later these very people called the shots in the proclamation of the worldwide corona pandemic, made sure that mass PCR tests were used to prove mass infections with COVID-19 all over the world, and are now pushing for vaccines to be invented and sold worldwide. These infections, or rather the positive test results that the PCR tests delivered, in turn became the justification for worldwide lockdowns, social distancing and mandatory face masks.

Note: The definition of "pandemic" was changed 12 years earlier to "a worldwide disease, many serious illnesses and many deaths were not required anymore, to announce a pandemic". Due to this change the WHO, was able to declare the swine flu pandemic in 2009, with the result that vaccines were produced and sold worldwide, on the basis of contracts that have been kept secret until today. During the swine flu in 2009 Drosten was one of those who stirred up panic in the population; repeating over and over again that the swine flu would claim many hundreds of thousands, even millions of deaths, all over the world. Thanks to Dr Wolfgang Wodarg this hoax was brought to an end, before it would lead to even more serious consequences

It is important to note at this point that the definition of a pandemic was changed 12 years earlier. Until then, a pandemic was considered to be a disease that spread worldwide and which led to many serious illnesses and deaths. Suddenly, and for reasons never explained, it was supposed to be a worldwide disease, only, many serious illnesses and many deaths were not required anymore, to announce a pandemic. Due to this change the WHO, which is closely intertwined with the global pharmaceutical industry, was able to declare the swine flu pandemic in 2009, with the result that vaccines were produced and sold worldwide, on the basis of contracts that have been kept secret until today.

These vaccines proved to be completely unnecessary because the swine flu eventually turned out to be a mild flu and never became the

horrific plague that the pharmaceutical industry and its affiliated universities kept announcing it would turn into, with millions of deaths certain to happen, if people didn't get vaccinated. These vaccines also led to serious health problems: about 700 children in Europe fell incurably ill with narcolepsy and are now forever severely disabled. The vaccines bought with millions of taxpayers' money had to be destroyed, with even more taxpayers' money.

Already then, during the swine flu, the German virologist Drosten was one of those who stirred up panic in the population; repeating over and over again that the swine flu would claim many hundreds of thousands, even millions of deaths, all over the world. In the end, it was mainly thanks to Dr Wolfgang Wodarg and his efforts as a member of the German Bundestag and also a member of the Council of Europe, that this hoax was brought to an end, before it would lead to even more serious consequences.

March of 2020 -- Germany decision for lockdown based only on Drosten's doomsday prophecies, the only one who disagree Sieber was called a conspiracy theorist and stripped of his mandates

March of 2020 -- There was only one single opinion on which the federal government in Germany based its' decision for lockdown, Mr Drosten, the very person whose horrific panic-inducing prognosis had proved to be catastrophically false 12 years earlier - In an outrageous violation of the universally accepted principle auditor at ultra parse, which means that one must also hear the other side. We know this because a whistle-blower named David Sieber, a member of the Green Party

Fast forward to March of 2020, when the German Bundestag announced an epidemic situation of national importance which is the German equivalent of a pandemic, in March of 2020, and based on this, the lockdown - with the suspension of all essential constitutional rights for an unforeseeable time. There was only one single opinion on which the federal government in Germany based its' decision. In an outrageous violation of the universally accepted principle auditor at ultra parse, which means that one must also hear the other side. The only person they listened to was Mr Drosten, that is, the very person whose horrific panic-inducing prognosis had proved to be catastrophically false 12 years earlier.

We know this because a whistle-blower named David Sieber, a member of the Green Party, told us about it. He did so first on 29 August, 2020 in Berlin in the context of an event at which Robert F Kennedy Junior also took part, and at which both men gave speeches; and he did so afterwards in one of the sessions of our corona committee.

David Sieber (member of the Green Party) had become increasingly sceptical about the official narrative propagated by politicians and the mainstream media. David Sieber found highly renowned scientists on the internet who held a completely different opinion, that there was no disease that went beyond the gravity of the seasonal flu; that the population had already acquired cross or T-cell immunity against this allegedly new virus; and that there was therefore no reason for any special measures and certainly not for vaccinations

The reason he (David Sieber) did this is that he had become increasingly sceptical about the official narrative propagated by politicians and the mainstream media. He had therefore undertaken an effort to find out about other scientists' opinions and had found them on the internet. There he realised that there were a number of highly renowned scientists who held a completely different opinion which contradicted the horrific prognosis of Mr Drosten. They assumed, and still do assume, that there was no disease that went beyond the gravity of the seasonal flu; that the population had already acquired cross or T-cell immunity against this allegedly new virus; and that there was therefore no reason for any special measures and certainly not for vaccinations.

These scientists include Professor John Ioannidis of Stanford University in California, a specialist in statistics and epidemiology as well as public health, and at the same time the most quoted scientists in the world; Professor Michael Levitt, Nobel prize winner for chemistry, and also a biophysicist at Stanford University, the German Professors Karin Mulling, Sucharit Bhakdi, Klud Wittkowski as well as Stefan Homburg and now many, many, more scientists and doctors worldwide; including Dr Mike Yeadon. Dr Mike Yeadon is the former vice president and scientific director of Pfizer, one of the largest pharmaceutical companies in the world. I will talk some more about him a little later.

- Professor John Ioannidis of Stanford University in California, a specialist in statistics and epidemiology as well as public health, and at the same time the most quoted scientists in the world;
- Professor Michael Levitt, Nobel prize winner for chemistry, and also a biophysicist at Stanford University,
- the German Professors Karin Mulling,
- Sucharit Bhakdi,
- Klud Wittkowski
- Stefan Homburg
- Dr Mike Yeadon is the former vice president and scientific director of Pfizer
- many, many, more scientists and doctors worldwide;

Mr Sieber turned to the leadership of his green party with the knowledge he had accumulated and suggested that they present these other scientific opinions to the public and explain that contrary to Mr Drosten's doomsday prophecies there was no reason for the public to panic -- Mr Drosten's panic messages were good enough for the German party. David Sieber was called a conspiracy theorist, without ever having considered the content of his information and then stripped him of his mandates

At the end of March, beginning of April, of 2020 Mr Sieber turned to the leadership of his green party with the knowledge he had accumulated and suggested that they present these other scientific opinions to the public and explain that contrary to Mr Drosten's doomsday prophecies there was no reason for the public to panic. Incidentally, Lord Sumption, who served as a judge at the British Supreme Court from 2012 to 2018, had done the very same thing, at the very same time, it had come to the very same conclusion, that there was no factual basis for panic and no legal basis for the corona measures.

Likewise, the former President of the German Federal Constitutional Court expressed, albeit more cautiously, serious doubts that the corona measures were constitutional. But instead of taking note of these other opinions and discussing them with David Sieber, the green party leadership declared that Mr Drosten's panic messages were good enough for the German party. For the green party, remember, they're not a member of the ruling coalition; they're the opposition. Still that was enough for them, just as it had been good enough for the federal government as a basis for its lockdown decision, they said.

Subsequently, the green party leadership called David Sieber a conspiracy theorist, without ever having considered the content of his information and then stripped him of his mandates.

The current state of affairs: 1. How dangerous is the virus really? 2. What is the significance of a post-positive PCR test? 3. What collateral damage has been caused by the corona measures?

1. How dangerous is the virus really?

The current, actual situation regarding the virus's danger; the complete uselessness of PCR tests for the detection of infections; and the lockdowns based on non-existent infections ... health care systems were never in danger of becoming overwhelmed by COVID-19 ... Studies carried out by Professor Ioannidis and others have shown that the mortality of corona is equivalent to that of the seasonal flu; even the pictures from Bergamo and New York that were used to demonstrate to the world that panic was in order proved to be deliberately misleading

Now, let's take a look at the current, actual situation regarding the virus's danger; the complete uselessness of PCR tests for the detection of infections; and the lockdowns based on non-existent infections.

In the meantime, we know that the health care systems were never in danger of becoming overwhelmed by COVID-19. On the contrary, many hospitals remain empty to this day and some are now facing bankruptcy. The hospital ship Comfort which anchored in New York at the time, and could have accommodated a thousand patients, never accommodated more than some 20 patients. Nowhere was there any excess mortality.

Studies carried out by Professor Ioannidis and others have shown that the mortality of corona is equivalent to that of the seasonal flu; even the pictures from Bergamo and New York that were used to demonstrate to the world that panic was in order proved to be deliberately misleading.

The "panic paper" -- Mr Wieler who repeatedly and excitedly announced that the corona measures must be followed unconditionally by the population, without them asking any question ... Among other things, the panic paper calls for children to be made to feel responsible and, I quote, for the painful tortured death of their parents and grandparents if they do not follow the corona rules; that is, if they do not wash their hands constantly and don't stay away from their grandparents

Then, the so-called 'panic paper' was leaked which was written by the German Department of the Interior. Its classified content shows beyond a shadow of a doubt that in fact the population was deliberately driven to panic by politicians and mainstream media. The accompanying irresponsible statements of the head of the RKI, remember the CDC, Mr Wieler who repeatedly and excitedly announced that the corona measures must be followed unconditionally by the population, without them asking any question shows that he followed the script verbatim. In his public statements he kept announcing that the situation was very grave and threatening although the figures compiled by his own institute proved the exact opposite.

Among other things, the panic paper calls for children to be made to feel responsible and, I quote, for the painful tortured death of their parents and grandparents if they do not follow the corona rules; that is, if they do not wash their hands constantly and don't stay away from their grandparents.

The pictures from Bergamo and New York were used to demonstrate to the world that panic was in order proved to be deliberately misleading

A word of clarification: in Bergamo, the vast majority of deaths, 94 percent to be exact, turned out to be the result, not of COVID-19, but rather the consequence of the government deciding to transfer sick patients, sick with probably the cold or seasonal flu, from hospitals to nursing homes - in order to make room at the hospitals for all the COVID-19 patients, who ultimately never arrived. There at the nursing homes, they then infected old people with a severely weakened immune system, usually as a result of pre-existing medical conditions, in addition, a flu vaccination which had previously been administered had further weakened the immune systems of the people in the nursing homes.

In New York only some, but by far not all, hospitals were overwhelmed. Many people, most of whom were again elderly and had serious pre-existing medical conditions and most of whom had it not been for the panic mongering would have just stayed at home to recover, raced to the hospitals. There many of them fell victim to healthcare-associated infections, or nosocomial infections on the one hand and incidents of malpractice on the other hand, for example: by being put on a respirator rather than receiving oxygen through an oxygen mask.

To clarify, COVID-19, is a dangerous disease, just like the seasonal flu -- However, as autopsies have shown, almost all been caused by serious pre-existing conditions and almost all of the people who had died, had died at a very old age, just like in Italy, meaning they had lived beyond their average life expectancy

Again to clarify, COVID-19, this is the current state of affairs, is a dangerous disease, just like the seasonal flu is a dangerous disease and of course COVID-19, just like the seasonal flu may sometimes take a severe clinical course and will sometimes kill patients.

However, as autopsies have shown, which were carried out in Germany, in particular by the forensic scientist Professor Klaus Püschel, in Hamburg, the fatalities he examined had almost all been caused by serious pre-existing conditions and almost all of the people who had died, had died at a very old age, just like in Italy, meaning they had lived beyond their average life expectancy.

In this context the following should also be mentioned: the German RKI, that is again the equivalent of the CDC, had initially, strangely enough, recommended that no autopsies be performed and there are numerous credible reports that doctors and hospitals worldwide had been paid money for declaring a deceased person a victim of COVID-19 rather than writing down the true cause of death on the death certificate, for example a heart attack or a gunshot wound. Without the autopsies we would never know that the overwhelming majority of the alleged COVID-19 victims had died of completely different diseases but not of COVID-19.

The assertion that the lockdown was necessary: a) the lockdown was imposed when the virus was already retreating, & b) There's already protection from the virus because of cross or t-cell immunity

The assertion that the lockdown was necessary because there were so many different infections with SARS-CoV-2 and because the healthcare systems would be overwhelmed is wrong, for three reasons, as we have learned from the hearings we conducted with the Corona Committee and from other data that has become available in the meantime.

a) the lockdown was imposed when the virus was already retreating. By the time the lockdown was imposed the alleged infection rates were already dropping again.

b) There's already protection from the virus because of cross or t-cell immunity, apart from the above mentioned lockdown being imposed, when the infection rates were already dropping there is also cross or t-cell immunity in the general population against the coronaviruses contained in every flu or influenza wave. This is true, even if this time around a slightly different strain of the coronavirus was at work, and that is because the body's own immune system remembers every virus it has ever battled in the past, and from this experience it also recognises a supposedly new but still similar strain of the virus from the corona family.

2. What is the significance of a post-positive PCR test?

The PCR test -- the PCR test for the detection of an infection was invented by the now infamous Professor Drosten ... Then he sent it to China to determine whether the victims of the alleged new coronavirus tested positive. They did, and that was enough for the WHO to sound the pandemic alarm and to recommend the worldwide use of the Drosten PCR test -- we have learned that these PCR tests, contrary to the assertions of Messrs. Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, do not give any indication of an infection with any virus

Incidentally that's how the PCR test for the detection of an infection was invented by the now infamous Professor Drosten. At the beginning of January of 2020, based on this very basic knowledge, Mr Drosten developed his PCR test which supposedly detects an infection with SARS-CoV-2. Without ever having seen the real Wuhan virus from China, only having learned from social media reports that there was something going on in Wuhan, he started tinkering on his computer with what would become his corona PCR test.

For this he used an old SARS [severe respiratory syndrome] virus hoping it would be sufficiently similar to the allegedly new strain of the coronavirus found in Wuhan. Then he sent the result of his computer tinkering to China to determine whether the victims of the alleged new coronavirus tested positive. They did, and that was enough for the WHO to sound the pandemic alarm and to recommend the worldwide use of the Drosten PCR test for the detection of infections with the virus now called SARS-CoV-2.

Drosten's opinion and advice was, this must be emphasised, once again the only source for the German Government when it announced the lockdown, as well as the rules for social distancing and the mandatory wearing of masks; and this must also be emphasised once again, Germany apparently became the centre of, especially, massive lobbying by the pharmaceutical and tech. industry because the world, was referenced to the allegedly disciplined Germans, should do as the Germans do in order to survive the pandemic.

c) and this is the most important part of our fact-finding. The PCR test is being used on the basis of false statements, not based on scientific facts with respect to infections. In the meantime, we have learned that these PCR tests, contrary to the assertions of Messrs. Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, do not give any indication of an infection with any virus let alone an infection with SARS-CoV-2.

PCR tests expressly not approved for diagnostic purposes, as is correctly noted on leaflets coming with these tests .. contrary to the assertions of Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, which they have been making since the proclamation of the pandemic, a positive PCR test result does not mean that an infection is present. Even the United States CDC, even this institution, agrees with this and I quote directly from page 38 of one of its publications on the coronavirus and the PCR tests dated July 13 2020

Note: not only are PCR tests expressly not approved for diagnostic purposes, as is correctly noted on leaflets coming with these tests, and as the inventor of the PCR test, Kary Mullis, has repeatedly emphasised. Instead they're simply incapable of diagnosing any disease. That is, contrary to the assertions of Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, which they have been making since the proclamation of the pandemic, a positive PCR test result does not mean that an infection is present. If someone tests positive, it does not mean that they're infected with anything let alone with the contagious SARS-CoV-2 virus.

Even the United States CDC, even this institution, agrees with this and I quote directly from page 38 of one of its publications on the coronavirus and the PCR tests dated July 13 2020.

- First bullet point says: detection of viral RNA may not indicate the presence of infectious virus or that 2019 nCoV [novel coronavirus] is the causative agent for clinical symptoms
- Second bullet point says: the performance of this test has not been established for monitoring treatment of two threat 2019 nCoV infection
- Third bullet point says: this test cannot rule out diseases caused by other bacterial or viral pathogens

Everything over 35 cycles is, as reported by the New York Times and others, considered completely unreliable and scientifically unjustifiable. Drosten test as well as the WHO recommended tests that followed his example are set to 45 cycles. Can that be because of the desire to produce as many positive results as possible and thereby provide the basis for the false assumption that a large number of infections have been detected?

It is still not clear whether there has ever been a scientifically correct isolation of the Wuhan virus so that nobody knows exactly what we're looking for when we test; especially, since this virus, just like the flu viruses mutates quickly. The PCR swabs take one or two sequences of a molecule that are invisible to the human eye and therefore need to be amplified in many cycles to make it visible. Everything over 35 cycles is, as reported by the New York Times and others, considered completely unreliable and scientifically unjustifiable. However, the Drosten test as well as the WHO recommended tests that followed his example are set to 45 cycles. Can that be because of the desire to produce as many positive results as possible and thereby provide the basis for the false assumption that a large number of infections have been detected?

The test cannot distinguish inactive and reproductive matter: that means that a positive result may happen because the test detects, for example, a piece of debris, a fragment of a molecule, which may signal nothing else more than the immune system of the person tested won a battle with a common cold in the past.

Even Drosten himself declared in an interview with a German business magazine in 2014, at that time concerning the alleged detection of an infection with the MERS virus allegedly with the help of the PCR test, that these PCR tests are so highly sensitive that even very healthy and non-infectious people may test positive ... Once again, this means that positive test results, contrary to all other claims by Drosten, Wieler or the WHO mean nothing, with respect to infections, as even the CDC knows as quoted above.

Even Drosten himself declared in an interview with a German business magazine in 2014, at that time concerning the alleged detection of an infection with the MERS virus allegedly with the help of the PCR test, that these PCR tests are so highly sensitive that even very healthy and non-infectious people may test positive. At that time, he also became very much aware of the powerful role of a panic and fear-mongering media, as you'll see at the end of the following quote, he said then in this interview: "If for example such a pathogen scurries over the nasal mucosa of a nurse for a day or so without her getting sick, or noticing anything, then she's suddenly a MERS case."

This could also explain the explosion of case numbers in Saudi Arabia. In addition, the media there have made this into an incredible sensation. Has he forgotten this or is he deliberately concealing this in the corona context because corona is a very lucrative business opportunity for the pharmaceutical industry as a whole, and for Mr Alford Lund, his co-author in many studies, and also a PCR test producer.

In my view, it is completely implausible that he forgot in 2020 what he knew about the PCR tests and told the business magazine in 2014. In short this test cannot detect any infection, contrary to all false claims stating that it can, an infection, a so-called hot infection requires that the virus or rather a fragment of a molecule which may be a virus is not just found somewhere, for example, in the throat of a person without causing any damage that would be a cold infection, rather a hot infection requires that the virus penetrates into the cells, replicates there and causes symptoms such as headaches or a sore throat. Only then is a person really infected; in the sense of a hot infection; because only then is a person contagious, that is able to infect others, until then it is completely harmless for both the host and all other people that the host comes into contact with.

Once again, this means that positive test results, contrary to all other claims by Drosten, Wieler or the WHO mean nothing, with respect to infections, as even the CDC knows as quoted above.

A number of highly respected scientists worldwide assume that there has never been a corona pandemic but only a PCR test pandemic - "We're basing our government policy, our economic policy and the policy of restricting fundamental rights presumably on completely wrong data and assumptions about the coronavirus. If it weren't for the test results that are constantly reported in the media, the pandemic would be over, because nothing really happened."

Meanwhile, a number of highly respected scientists worldwide assume that there has never been a corona pandemic but only a PCR test pandemic. This is the conclusion reached by many German scientists such as Professors Bhakdi, Rice, Mölling, Hockerts, Wallach and many others including the above mentioned Professor John Ioannidis and the Nobel laureate, Professor Michael Levitt, from Stanford University. The most recent such opinion is that of the aforementioned Dr Mike Yeadon, a former vice president and chief science officer at Pfizer, who held this position for 16 years. He and his co-authors, all well-known scientists, published a scientific paper in September of 2020 and he wrote a corresponding magazine article on September 20th 2020.

Among other things, he and they state, and I quote: "We're basing our government policy, our economic policy and the policy of restricting fundamental rights presumably on completely wrong data and assumptions about the coronavirus. If it weren't for the test results that are constantly reported in the media, the pandemic would be over, because nothing really happened."

There was a real wave of disease in March and April but since then everything has gone back to normal ... it is sufficient for the so-called herd immunity that 15 to 25 percent of the population are infected with the allegedly new coronavirus to stop the

further spread of the virus, and this has long been the case

Of course, there are some serious individual cases of illness but there are also some in every flu epidemic. There was a real wave of disease in March and April but since then everything has gone back to normal. Only the positive results rise and sink wildly again and again, depending on how many tests are carried out, but the real cases of illnesses are over. There can be no talk of a second wave. The allegedly new strain of the coronavirus is, Dr Yeadon continues, only new in that it is a new type of the long known coronavirus; there are at least four coronaviruses that are endemic and cause some of the common colds we experience, especially in winter. They all have a striking sequence similarity to the coronavirus and because the human immune system recognises the similarity to the virus that has now, allegedly, been newly discovered, a t-cell immunity has long existed in this respect, 30 percent of the population had this before the, allegedly, new virus even appeared. Therefore, it is sufficient for the so-called herd immunity that 15 to 25 percent of the population are infected with the allegedly new coronavirus to stop the further spread of the virus, and this has long been the case.

Dr Yeadon in his piece: 'Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics - the Deadly Danger of False Positives' - explains that what the PCR test actually measures is: "Simply the presence of partial RNA sequences present in the intact virus which could be a piece of dead virus, which cannot make the subject sick, and cannot be transmitted and cannot make anyone else sick - because of the complete unsuitability of the test for the detection of infectious diseases - tested positive in goats, sheep, papayas and even chicken wings" -- Carl Heneghan writes that the COVID-19 virus would never disappear, if this test practice were to be continued, but would always be falsely detected, in much of what is tested. Lockdowns as Yeadon and his colleagues found out, do not work. Sweden with its laissez-faire approach and Great Britain with its strict lockdown, for example, have completely comparable disease and mortality statistics

Regarding the all-important PCR tests, Yeadon writes in a piece called: 'Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics - the Deadly Danger of False Positives', dated September 20th 2020 and I quote: '...The likelihood of an apparently positive case being a false positive is between 89 to 94 percent or near certainty...'. Dr Yeadon, in agreement with the professors of immunology, Camera from Germany, Capel from the Netherlands and Cahill from Ireland as well as a microbiologist, Dr Harvey from Austria, all of whom testified before the German corona committee, explicitly points out that a positive test does not mean that an intact virus has been found.

The authors explain that what the PCR test actually measures is, and I quote: "Simply the presence of partial RNA sequences present in the intact virus which could be a piece of dead virus, which cannot make the subject sick, and cannot be transmitted and cannot make anyone else sick - because of the complete unsuitability of the test for the detection of infectious diseases - tested positive in goats, sheep, papayas and even chicken wings.

Oxford Professor Carl Heneghan, director of the Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine writes that the COVID-19 virus would never disappear, if this test practice were to be continued, but would always be falsely detected, in much of what is tested. Lockdowns as Yeadon and his colleagues found out, do not work.

Sweden with its laissez-faire approach and Great Britain with its strict lockdown, for example, have completely comparable disease and mortality statistics. The same was found by US scientists concerning the different US states; it makes no difference to the incidence of disease whether a state implements a lockdown or not.

Professor Neil Ferguson and his completely false computer model; models warning of millions of deaths. Yeadon says that, and I quote: "No serious scientist gives any validity to Ferguson's model." .. but the government is still wedded to the model. "This test is fatally flawed and must immediately be withdrawn and never used again in this setting, unless shown to be fixed."

With regard to the now infamous, Imperial College of London's, Professor Neil Ferguson and his completely false computer model; models warning of millions of deaths; he [Yeadon] says that, and I quote: "No serious scientist gives any validity to Ferguson's model." He points out, with thinly veiled contempt, again I quote: "It's important that you know most scientists don't accept that it, that is Ferguson's model, was even faintly right but the government is still wedded to the model."

Ferguson predicted 40 000 corona deaths in Sweden by May and a hundred thousand by June but it remained at five thousand eight hundred which, according to the Swedish authorities, is equivalent to a mild flu. If the PCR tests had not been used as a diagnostic tool for corona infections there would not be a pandemic and there would be no lockdowns but everything would have been perceived as just a medium or light wave of influenza these scientists conclude. Dr Yeadon in his piece: 'Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics - the Deadly Danger of False Positives' writes: "This test is fatally flawed and must immediately be withdrawn and never used again in this setting, unless shown to be fixed." And towards the end of that article: "I have explained how a hopelessly performing diagnostic test has been, and continues to be used, not for diagnosis of disease but it seems solely to create fear."

3. Collateral damages & legal consequences - What collateral damage has been caused by the corona measures both with respect to the world's population's health, and with respect to the world's economy?

"The False Alarm paper" (leaked recently) -- "The False Alarm paper" written by a German official in the Department of the Interior, who is responsible for risk assessment and the protection of the population against risks, was leaked recently. It is now called the False Alarm paper. This paper comes to the conclusion that there was, and is, no sufficient evidence for serious health risks for the population, and very much evidence of the corona measures causing gigantic health and economic damage to the population which he then describes in detail in this paper. This he concludes will lead to very high claims for damages, which the government will be held responsible for. This has now become reality but the paper's author was suspended. More and more scientists but also lawyers recognise that as a result of the deliberate panic-mongering and the corona measures enabled by this panic, democracy is in great danger of being replaced by fascist totalitarian models. In Australia people who do not wear the masks, which more and more studies show are hazardous to health, or who allegedly do not wear them correctly, are arrested,

handcuffed and thrown into jail. In the Philippines they run the risk of getting shot, but even in Germany and in other previously, civilised countries, children are taken away from their parents if they do not comply with quarantine regulations, distance regulations and mask wearing -regulations. According to psychologists and psychotherapists who testified before the corona committee, children are traumatised en masse.

Now, let's take a look at the current actual situation regarding the severe damage caused by the lockdowns and other measures. Another detailed paper written by a German official in the Department of the Interior, who is responsible for risk assessment and the protection of the population against risks, was leaked recently. It is now called the False Alarm paper. This paper comes to the conclusion that there was, and is, no sufficient evidence for serious health risks for the population, as claimed by Drosten, Wieler, and the WHO. But the author says there's very much evidence of the corona measures causing gigantic health and economic damage to the population which he then describes in detail in this paper. This he concludes will lead to very high claims for damages, which the government will be held responsible for. This has now become reality but the paper's author was suspended.

More and more scientists but also lawyers recognise that as a result of the deliberate panic-mongering and the corona measures enabled by this panic, democracy is in great danger of being replaced by fascist totalitarian models. As I already mentioned above, in Australia people who do not wear the masks, which more and more studies show are hazardous to health, or who allegedly do not wear them correctly, are arrested, handcuffed and thrown into jail. In the Philippines they run the risk of getting shot, but even in Germany and in other previously, civilised countries, children are taken away from their parents if they do not comply with quarantine regulations, distance regulations and mask wearing -regulations.

According to psychologists and psychotherapists who testified before the corona committee, children are traumatised en masse, with the worst psychological consequences yet to be expected in the medium and long term. In Germany alone, 500 000 to 800 000 bankruptcies are expected in the fall to strike small and medium-sized businesses which form the backbone of the economy. This will result in incalculable tax losses and incalculably high and long-term social security money transfers for among other things unemployment benefits. Since in the meantime, pretty much everybody is beginning to understand the full devastating impact of the completely unfounded corona measures, I will refrain from detailing this any further.

Summary of the legal consequences - A court of law can only decide a legal dispute correctly if it has previously determined the facts correctly, which is not possible without looking at all the evidence and that's why the law of evidence is so important. On the basis of the facts summarised above, in particular, those established with the help of the work of the German corona committee, the legal evaluation is actually simple

Let me now give you a summary of the legal consequences; the most difficult part of a lawyer's work is always to establish the true facts. Not the application of the legal rules to these facts. Unfortunately, a German lawyer does not learn this at law school but his Anglo-American counterparts do get the necessary training for this, at their law schools, and probably for this reason, but also because of the much more pronounced independence of the American, Anglo-American judiciary, the Anglo-American law of evidence is much more effective in practice than the German one.

A court of law can only decide a legal dispute correctly if it has previously determined the facts correctly, which is not possible without looking at all the evidence and that's why the law of evidence is so important. On the basis of the facts summarised above, in particular, those established with the help of the work of the German corona committee, the legal evaluation is actually simple. It is simple for all civilised legal systems regardless of whether these legal systems are based on civil law, which follows the Roman law more closely, or whether they are based on Anglo-American common law which is only loosely connected to Roman law.

German law professors including Professors Kingreen, Morswig, Youngblood and Foskarau have stated serious doubts -- the corona measures, are without a sufficient factual basis and also without a sufficient legal basis and are therefore unconstitutional and must be repealed immediately

Let's first take a look at the unconstitutionality of the measures; a number of German law professors including Professors Kingreen, Morswig, Youngblood and Foskarau have stated either in written expert opinions or in interviews in line with the serious doubts expressed by the former president of the federal constitutional court with respect to the constitutionality of the corona measures, that these measures, the corona measures, are without a sufficient factual basis and also without a sufficient legal basis and are therefore unconstitutional and must be repealed immediately.

Torsten Schleife is his name, declared publicly that the German judiciary, just like the general public has been so panic-stricken that it was no longer able to administer justice properly -- Are currently experiencing the most serious encroachment on their constitutional rights since the founding of the Federal Republic of Germany in 1949. In order to contain the corona pandemic federal and state governments have intervened, he says, massively and in part threatening the very existence of the country, as it is guaranteed by the constitutional rights of the people

Very recently, a judge, Torsten Schleife is his name, declared publicly that the German judiciary, just like the general public has been so panic-stricken that it was no longer able to administer justice properly. He says that the courts of law, and I quote: "Have all too quickly waved through coercive measures which for millions of people all over Germany represent massive suspensions of their constitutional rights." He points out that German citizens, again I quote: "Are currently experiencing the most serious encroachment on their constitutional rights since the founding of the Federal Republic of Germany in 1949. In order to contain the corona pandemic federal and state governments have intervened, he says, massively and in part threatening the very existence of the country, as it is guaranteed by the constitutional rights of the people.

What about fraud, intentional infliction of damage and crimes against humanity? Based on the rules of criminal law asserting false facts concerning the PCR tests or intentional risk misrepresentation, as it was committed by Messrs Drosten and Wieler as

well as the WHO, can only be assessed as fraud. Based on the rules of civil tort law, this translates into intentional infliction of damage

What about fraud, intentional infliction of damage and crimes against humanity? Based on the rules of criminal law asserting false facts concerning the PCR tests or intentional risk misrepresentation, as it was committed by Messrs Drosten and Wieler as well as the WHO, can only be assessed as fraud. Based on the rules of civil tort law, this translates into intentional infliction of damage. The German Professor of civil law, Martin Schwab, supports this finding in public interviews in a comprehensive legal opinion of around 180 pages. He has familiarised himself with the subject matter like no other legal scholar has done thus far and in particular has provided a detailed account of the complete failure of the mainstream media to report on the true facts of this so-called pandemic.

Messrs Drosten, Wieler and Tedros of the WHO all knew, based on their own expertise, or the expertise of their institutions, that the PCR tests cannot provide any information about infections but asserted over and over again to the general public that they can -- on the basis of their recommendations the governments of the world would decide on lockdowns

Messrs Drosten, Wieler and Tedros of the WHO all knew, based on their own expertise, or the expertise of their institutions, that the PCR tests cannot provide any information about infections but asserted over and over again to the general public that they can; with their counterparts all over the world repeating this. And they all knew, and accepted, that on the basis of their recommendations the governments of the world would decide on lockdowns, the rules for social distancing and mandatory wearing of masks. The latter, representing a very serious health hazard as more and more independent studies and expert statements show.

Under the rules of civil tort law, all those who have been harmed by these PCR tests, PCR tests induced lockdowns are entitled to receive full compensation for their losses

Under the rules of civil tort law, all those who have been harmed by these PCR tests, PCR tests induced lockdowns are entitled to receive full compensation for their losses. In particular, there is a duty to compensate, that is, a duty to pay damages, for the loss of profits suffered by companies and self-employed persons as a result of the lockdown, and other measures.

In the meantime, however, the anti-corona measures have caused and continue to cause such devastating damage to the world's population's health and economy that the crimes committed by Messrs Drosten, Wieler and the WHO must be legally qualified as actual crimes against humanity, as defined in Section 7 of the International Criminal Code.

What can we do? The class action is the best route to compensatory damages and to political consequences

How can we do something? What can we do? -- the class action is the best route to compensatory damages and to political consequences. class action enables a court of law to allow complaint for damages to be tried as a class action lawsuit at the request of a plaintiff, if: as a result of a damage inducing event, and a large number of people, suffer the same type of damage. A judge can allow a class-action lawsuit to go forward if common questions of law and fact make up the vital component of the lawsuit

How can we do something? What can we do? Well, the class action is the best route to compensatory damages and to political consequences. The so-called class action lawsuit is based on English law and exists today in the USA and in Canada. It enables a court of law to allow complaint for damages to be tried as a class action lawsuit at the request of a plaintiff, if:

as a result of a damage inducing event
a large number of people, suffer the same type of damage

Phrased differently, a judge can allow a class-action lawsuit to go forward if common questions of law and fact make up the vital component of the lawsuit. Here, the common questions of law in fact revolve around the worldwide PCR test based lockdowns and its consequences; just like the VW diesel passenger cars were functioning products but they were defective due to a so-called defeat device because they didn't comply with the emissions standards, so too, the PCR tests, which are perfectly good products in other settings, are defective products when it comes to the diagnosis of infections.

The advantage of the class action

Now if an American or Canadian company or an American or Canadian individual decides to sue these persons in the United States or Canada for damages, then the court called upon to resolve this dispute may, upon request, allow this complaint to be tried as a class action lawsuit. If this happens all affected parties worldwide will be informed about this through publications in the mainstream media and will thus have the opportunity to join this class action within a certain period of time to be determined by the court. It should be emphasised that nobody must join the class action, but every injured party can join the class action. The advantage of the class action is that only one trial is needed, namely, to try the complaint of a representative plaintiff who is affected in a manner typical of everyone else in the class.

This is firstly cheaper, and secondly faster, than hundreds of thousands or more individual lawsuits and thirdly it imposes less of a burden on the courts, fourthly as a rule, it allows a much more precise examination of the accusations than would be possible in the context of hundreds of thousands or, more likely in this corona setting, even millions of individual lawsuits.

In particular, the well-established and proven Anglo-American law of evidence, with its pre-trial discovery, is applicable. This requires that all evidence relevant for the determination of the lawsuit is put on the table. In contrast to the typical situation in German lawsuits with structural imbalance, that is, lawsuits involving on the one hand a consumer and on the other hand a powerful corporation; the withholding or even destruction of evidence is not without consequence, rather the party withholding or even destroying evidence loses

the case under these evidence rules.

Here in Germany, a group of tort lawyers have banded together to help their clients with recovery of damages. They have provided all relevant information and forms for German plaintiffs to both estimate how much damage they have suffered and join the group or class of plaintiffs who will later join the class action when it goes forward either in Canada or the US.

Initially, this group of lawyers had considered to also collect and manage the claims for damages of other non-German plaintiffs but this proved to be unmanageable.

An international lawyers' network, provides all relevant information free of charge

Through an international lawyers' network, which is growing larger by the day, the German group of attorneys provides to all of their colleagues, in all other countries, free of charge, all relevant information, including expert opinions and testimonies of experts. These scandalous corona facts gathered mostly by the corona committee and summarised above are the very same facts that will soon be proven to be true, either in one court of law or in many courts of law, all over the world. These are the facts that will pull the masks off the faces of all those responsible for these crimes.

However, through an international lawyers' network, which is growing larger by the day, the German group of attorneys provides to all of their colleagues, in all other countries, free of charge, all relevant information, including expert opinions and testimonies of experts showing that the PCR tests cannot detect infections and they also provide them with all relevant information as to how they can prepare and bundle the claims for damages of their clients so that they too can assert their clients claims for damages either in their home countries, courts of law, or within the framework of the class action as explained above.

These scandalous corona facts gathered mostly by the corona committee and summarised above are the very same facts that will soon be proven to be true, either in one court of law or in many courts of law, all over the world. These are the facts that will pull the masks off the faces of all those responsible for these crimes.

To the politicians, start the long overdue public scientific discussion and not go down with those charlatans and criminals

To the politicians, who believe those corrupt people, these facts are hereby offered as a lifeline, that can help you readjust your course of action and start the long overdue public scientific discussion and not go down with those charlatans and criminals.

Thank you

END OF TRANSCRIPT

Video & First Transcript

- The video of Reiner Fuellmich can be found here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B2juC1LB-OE>
- The first transcript can be found here: <https://www.ageofautism.com/2020/10/reiner-fuellmich-crimes-against-humanitytranscript.html>